

Design Presentation Scoresheet

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adv Sci Tech & Art-Inspiration | <input type="checkbox"/> Embry-Riddle Aeronautical Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> Florida Atlantic Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> George Mason Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> Georgia Institute of Technology |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Korea Maritime & Ocean Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> Lake Superior State Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> Nanyang Technological Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> National Univ of Singapore | <input type="checkbox"/> Pontificia Univ Católica de Chile |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Singapore Univ of Tech & Design | <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of Florida | <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of Louisiana at Lafayette | <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of Newcastle/James Cook/Cardiff | |

Judge Name	Date
-------------------	-------------

Scoring Breakdown	Considerations for Outstanding Presentations	Evaluation
Competition Strategy 30% of score	The presentation includes a concise description of the team's strategic vision and how the vehicle design compliments their goals. The team explains how they developed their competition strategy.	<input type="checkbox"/> Outstanding <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Below Average <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements Not Met
Design Rationale 30% of score	The team presents their design process and how their decisions relate to their competition strategy. Describe how lessons learned from testing or previous competition experience are applied throughout the design process.	<input type="checkbox"/> Outstanding <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Below Average <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements Not Met
Effective Communication & Professionalism 20% of score	Presentation materials and team member knowledge effectively support the team's message. Team members are engaging, respectful, and professional while interacting in a positive manner with the judges and each other.	<input type="checkbox"/> Outstanding <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Below Average <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements Not Met
Judge Questions & Dialogue 20% of score	The team effectively uses evidence, experience, and research from project to inform responses to all questions and discussion.	<input type="checkbox"/> Outstanding <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Below Average <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements Not Met

FEEDBACK FOR TEAM (suggest improvements, if appropriate, as well as observations and notes)

Continue on back if additional space is needed.



SPECIAL AWARD NOMINATIONS
[Robotx.org/award-nominations](https://robotx.org/award-nominations)