## Design Presentation Scoresheet (Check box to select team being scored)

0					
☐ Georgia Tech	□ Embry-Riddle	☐ Hagerty & UCF	☐ South Dakota	☐ US Coast Guard	
gy 1 ☐ Univ of Michigar	1				
□ LSSU & FAU	☐ Cornell Univ	☐ James Martin HS	☐ Inspiration & UCS	D □ Newfoundland	
ay 2 ☐ Puerto Rico	☐ AGH Krakow	☐ Gdañsk	☐ Tecnológico Monterrey		
□ Istanbul Tech	☐ Institut Teknologi	☐ Sebelas Maret	□ Strathclyde		
Judge Name			Total Sco	re (180 points max)	
Scoring Breakdown (180 Points max)	Considerat	ions for Exceptiona	ıl Presentations	Points	
Competition Strategy (55 points max)	The team demonstrates a good understanding of rules, requirements, and autonomy challenges, and explains how the team developed their competition strategy. Team effectively describes metrics of success for the competition.			eir	
<b>Design Rationale</b> (55 points max)	The team's design approach clearly relates to their competition strategy. System, subsystem, and component testing as well as lessons learned are applied throughout the system development process.				
Effective Communication & Professionalism (35 points max)	Presentation materials and team member knowledge effectively support the team's message. Team members are engaging, respectful, and professional while interacting in a positive manner with the judges and each other.				
Judge Questions & Dialogue (35 points max)	The team effectively uses evidence, experience, and research from project to inform responses to all questions and discussion.				
FEEDBACK FO	R TEAM (suggest	improvements if	appropriate)	•	
LESSAGRIC	i E. iiii (ouggest	provomono, n	appropriato)		

Continue on back if additional space is needed

