

System Assessment Scoresheet

- | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adv Sci Tech & Art–Inspiration | <input type="checkbox"/> Amador Valley HS | <input type="checkbox"/> AMRA Embry-Riddle | <input type="checkbox"/> Beaver Country Day School | <input type="checkbox"/> BRAC University–Duburi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> California State Univ, LA | <input type="checkbox"/> Carnegie Mellon–Tartan AUV | <input type="checkbox"/> Cornell Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> Duke Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> École de Tech Supérieur–SONIA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Georgia Tech | <input type="checkbox"/> Gibson Ek HS | <input type="checkbox"/> Harvey Mudd | <input type="checkbox"/> IIT Bombay | <input type="checkbox"/> Istanbul Technical Univ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kennesaw State | <input type="checkbox"/> McGill Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> Montana State Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> North Carolina State Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> The Ohio State Univ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> San Diego State Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> Shenzhen Tech Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> Si Se Puede Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Stanford Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> Team Simplicity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Texas A&M Univ | <input type="checkbox"/> Troy HS | <input type="checkbox"/> Universidade de Brasilia | <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of Alberta | <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of British Columbia |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of BC Okanagan | <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of California, Los Angeles | <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of Florida | <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of Maryland, College Park | <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of Michigan |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of Missouri - Columbia | <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of Puerto Rico - Mayaguez | <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of Rhode Island | <input type="checkbox"/> Univ of Texas at San Antonio | <input type="checkbox"/> Widener Univ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Worcester Polytechnic Inst | | | | |

Judge Name	Date
-------------------	-------------

Scoring Breakdown	Considerations for Outstanding Systems	Evaluation
Technical Design 45% of score	Design and implementation of systems and subsystems are well aligned with team's strategy, design decisions, and engineering principles. For example, consideration has been given for ease of troubleshooting and operation.	<input type="checkbox"/> Outstanding <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Below Average <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements Not Met
Innovation 30% of score	System demonstrates creative and innovative solutions. Innovation may include applying existing technology in novel ways within the system, using existing technology in a previously unintended way, or creating new technology or products incorporated into the system.	<input type="checkbox"/> Outstanding <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Below Average <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements Not Met
Craftmanship 25% of score	System is assembled with care and attention to detail and aesthetics. Construction and improvisations are neatly executed to maintain high levels of functionality, durability, and adherence to the team's design philosophy. Any vehicle adornment demonstrates creativity, originality, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> Outstanding <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Below Average <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements Not Met

FEEDBACK FOR TEAM (suggest improvements, if appropriate, as well as observations and notes)

Continue on back if additional space is needed.



SPECIAL AWARD NOMINATIONS

robosub.org/award-nominations