

Hudson Holden, Alyssa Moody, and Ben Humphrey

Project Overview

Water sampling is important when monitoring the water quality of an area. Normally water bodies are sampled from above using a hanging Van Dorn bottle (standard equipment used in lake studies to capture water samples at various depths from above the sampling location).

However there are areas where humans are unable to easily reach such as an underwater cave or under the mat of a fen or bog. A purposely engineered Seaperch ROV (Remotely Operated Vehicle) can provide the perfect tool to collect water samples from these hard to reach places with minimal environmental impact.

Upon designing several sampling methods compatible with a Seaperch ROV the engineers concluded that two of their water collecting device designs, one remotely operated and one surface operated, are best suited for collecting water samples in difficult to reach aquatic environments.

The remotely operated design, referred to as the Widget Ball Model, captures water by releasing tension to snap widget balls to close an open tube. The surface model, known as the Siphon Model, consists of a flexible narrow tube, in this case a fish tank air hose, that leads from the surface to the ROV. A researcher at the surface uses a syringe to extract water through the tube from the location of the ROV to collect a sample.

Background & Rationale

The project idea developed from some of the studies done last year in biology class working with Cathance River Education Alliance (CREA). At the Cathance River Preserve, Topsham, Maine there is a fen. Much of its surface is covered with a mossy mat, making it hard for people to explore below the surface without disturbing the ecosystem.

The goal of this project is to design a method to collect a water sample below the marshy mat without disrupting the ecosystem. These areas are home to many living organisms, and studying their home could put their ecosystem off balance and disrupt them. By collecting water samples beneath the mossy mat, further tests can be run and new data can be collected to better understand these ecosystems. Later the findings can inform the public how to further protect and support them.

Basic Background Information

- Fen: A low, marshy area that is, in many cases, frequently flooded.

Van Dorn Bottle sampler: standard equipment used in lake studies to capture water samples at various depths from above the sampling location.

- Buoyancy: the tendency of an object to float when submerged in a liquid.

Neutral Buoyancy: Occurs when the average density of an object is equal to the density of the liquid around it, resulting in the buoyant force balancing the force of gravity, allowing the object to appear to "hover" in the liquid.

Worm Gear: A cylindrical gear consisting of a spiral thread. This allows an attached gear to rotate perpendicular to the worm gear. In the case of this ROV, it rotates, releasing the hooks that keep the widget balls from closing the container.

Background Images



Van Dorn bottle borrowed from Stantec (environmental nsulting company).



mpler.



Example of a Fen



Worm Gear

Water Sampling with a SeaPerch ROV: The Fen-Tastic Four

Mt. Ararat High School

Approach

ROV chassis approach:

- Small, with no rough edges that it could get stuck on
- Neutrally buoyant to aid control
- -The Widget Ball Model should be placed more in the front to counter the weight of rear motors.
- General water model design approach:
- -Minimal effect on buoyancy
- -Minimal effect on the control of ROV
- -Watertight and reliable

-Many concepts were considered, three were made (Widget Ball, Siphon, and Balloon Models), of these two were determined effective.

- Widget Ball Model approach:
- Triggered by a motor
- Minimize gear friction
- This model could reliably capture a water sample without leaks, but it required the ROV to surface. iphon Model approach:

- Flexible tube affixed to the cable to prevent it from getting stuck
- Inexpensive, but reliable pump for water
- This model could easily and reliably collect a water sample without requiring the ROV to surface. **Balloon Model approach:**
- Flexible tube affixed to the cable to prevent it from getting stuck
- Inexpensive, but reliable pump for air
- Smooth edges to prevent the balloons from popping
- The design was not reliable enough to go any further than testing, the balloons were prone to popping. - After the balloons were filled and the hose was sealed, air would still leak, indicating that it could not contain a water sample.

ROV Design Features

4th Motor:

Siphon Model: To prevent the Siphor Aodel's hose from

etting caught, it was attached to the blue electrical cable using electrical tape.

1/2 inch PEX Pipe, This material is maller and lighter than PVC, while still maintaining its rigidity and strength.



Center of Mass:

Self-Righting the ROV is level.

Chassis: The ROV was ourposefully designed to be narrow as shown here, allowing access to tight spots.



Flotation: Helps keep the ROV neutrally buoyant.

Topsham, Maine, the United States of America

Trips the Widget Ball Model by pinning the attached worm gear.

This is roughly the To allow the ROV to be self-righting, the center of mass center of mass of the needed to be directly below the center of buoyancy when

Worm gear:

The worm gear is onnected to the 4th notor. When rotated by the motor it frees the vidget balls, which are then pulled to close the ampling tube with a rubber band, capturing the water sample.

Widget Ball Model: Removable water mpler.

Left open to allow water flow, so that the sample loesn't get contaminated

The black on the edges f the PVC is rubber tape, put in place to make a vatertight seal.

The Widget Ball Model s held onto the ROV with two bright green 3D

rinted holders, which clasp around the tube nolding it in place with the assistance of rubber tape, to increase the riction.

Motor Mounts:

The bright orange parts of the ROV are the moto nounts, which were ustom designs and 3D rinted specifically to ceep the motor positions

Siphon Model: This is the end of the iphon Model's tube where the water sample i ollected. To collect the water sample, the syringe at the other end of the nose must be drawn.

Approach: The Fourth Switch

Since a fourth motor was needed for the some of the designs, the first issue was determining how to wire the fourth switch to operate the fourth motor.

Approach: Wiring

Since there were no guides on how to wire the fourth motor, a few hours were spent just testing where to attach the

activate the water collection device. The top green wire is Ultimately, some assistance from attaching the fourth switch to the fourth motor while the Robonation was needed to show where to bottom green wire attaches the switch to the power source. attach the wires. The red wire links the fourth motor to the fuse.

Discussion & Reasoning

After testing three different water sampling methods it was found that both the Widget Ball and the Siphon Models were best able to collect water samples. The Widget Ball Model held a secure supply of water when tested for leaks (pH tests). The Siphon Model could effectively and efficiently get an unlimited water sample to the surface, with the main concern that all connections be water-tight

Lessons :

Surprises:

- How difficult it was determine how to wire the fourth switch to the control box.

- Throughout this process everyone gained an appreciation for what engineers go through. - There is an immense amount of effort to How much friction affected the water samplers from functioning properly. design and build one solution that works reliably well.

Conclusions & Next Steps

CONCLUSIONS:

Ultimately both the Siphon Model and the Widget Ball Model were able to collect a water sample when attached to the ROV. Both sampling methods have their pros and cons. The Siphon Model can provide unlimited samples from the area. However, the small tube opening limits the size of particles collected. The Widget Ball Model is an effective innovative way to collect a smaller water sample containing larger particles. Improvements can be made to make this model more reliable.

The Balloon Model was definitely flawed and didn't work as planned. There was too many issues with air and water leakage when using this design. The balloons sometimes broke.

The models that weren't made due to limited time still have potential. These other models are further elaborated in the Technical Design Report.

NEXT STEPS:

- Camera or depth monitor to make ROV movement easier for the operator. This will also allow visual information to be collected under the fen mat.

The several water sample models that weren't built ultimately could be built and tested. Of those samplers the Totally Tubular idea was most promising. The friction and leakage should be minimal. It can easily be modified to make it bigger.

The engineers plan to share the ROV and the newly designed sampling techniques with Stantec (local environmental consulting company).



Acknowledgments

We would like to give a big thanks to the following people for their help with this project: Glenn Evans: for being our mentor and providing us with a work space, new ideas, for helping to find and supply materials, and for making this project possible. Matt Berger: for coming in when he could to help mentor and test our abilities, as well as offer new ideas.

Gabe Pelletier from Stantec: for allowing us to borrow one of Stantec's Van Dorn Bottles.

This picture shows the plain circuit board that is included in the SeaPerch starter kit, with a fourth switch attached, to

presenting the final design of their ROV.